

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,992	12/11/2001	Takeaki Shimanouchi	2500.66054	3379
	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			TAMAI, KARL I	
GREER, BURNS, CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
Chicago, IL	60606		DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>_</i>				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/014,992	SHIMANOUCHI, TAKEAKI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tamai I.E. Karl	2834				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,11-13,15,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4, 6, 11-13, 15, 17, and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and the second of the second o				
		<del></del>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/014,992

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 2-4, 6, 11-13, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not support the limitation of "at least one insulating solid piece", which is not supported by the specification. There is no disclosure of the insulating piece being more than one piece.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, 6, 11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (Park)(US 5747690) and Werner (US 6133059). Park teaches an electrostatic sensor and actuator with stationary column and wall electrodes 38 facing a frame of moving electrodes 36, but does not teach the insulation between

Application/Control Number: 10/014,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

the electrode walls and columns. Park teaches the electrodes are used as an actuator to adjust the natural frequency of the vibrating structure. Werner teaches insulation ZR between the stationary electrodes to mechanically fix the electrodes to the substrate (col. 5, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the electrostatic device of Park with the insulation between the stationary electrodes and columns to position and provide stability and stiffness to the electrodes as shown by Werner, and because removing the insulation between the electrodes requires further expenses and steps during production.

- 5. Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (Park)(US 5747690) and Werner (US 6133059), in further view of Dyck. Park and Werner teach every aspect of the invention except the insulating material being silicon nitride. Dyck teaches silicon nitride as an insulator for electrostatic devices. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the device of Park and Werner with the insulation being silicon nitride because Dyck teaches it is a preferred insulator in electrostatic actuator and because selection of the material for intended use is within the ordinary skill in the art (see *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416).
- 6. Claims 12, 13, and 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (Park)(US 5747690) and Werner (US 6133059), in further view of Hashimoto (US 6543285). Park and Werner teach every aspect of the invention except

the moving electrode having a thickness W and the stable electrode columns have area of 9W<sup>2</sup> or a length equal to or larger than 3W between the datum planes/column width. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Park and Werner with the moving electrode having a thickness W and the stable electrode columns have area of 9W<sup>2</sup> at the basement plane and a length equal to or larger than 3W between the datum planes/column width to optimized the force supplied by the electrodes as suggested by Hashimoto, and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. (see *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233).

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (Park)(US 5747690) and Werner (US 6133059), in further view of Fujii et al. (Fujii)(US 6227050). Werner teaches an insulating layer 2 between the columns/electrodes and the substrate (See figures 4 and 5). Park and Werner teach every aspect of the invention except the insulating film and conductor pieces connecting the column to a wiring pattern. Fujii teaches a conductive wiring pattern 122 and an insulating film with the connector piece to the electrodes being surrounded by film (see figure 30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Park and Werner with the insulating film and conductor pieces connecting the column to a wiring pattern of Fujii to utilize know micromachine assembly techniques.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,992 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Applicant's argument regarding the use of the drawings is not persuasive. The drawings can be used for what they reasonably show a person of ordinary skill in the art (See *In re Aslanian*, 590, F.2d 911, 200 USPQ 500 (CCPA 1979) and MPEP 2125). Park shows the space between the electrodes being greater than the width of the electrodes and the area of the column being greater than 9W, while it does not anticipate the applicant's claimed limitation, it does suggest to a person of ordinary skill in the art the spacing claimed by the applicant. Hashimoto clearly teaches the spacing of the electrodes with width and offset as being result effective variables for determining the force of the actuator (col 10, lines 30, through col. 11). The combined teachings of Park, Werner, and Hashimoto clearly suggest the applicant's claimed dimensions for the electrodes/columns and that they are result effective variables for determining the force of the actuator.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 -

2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00

am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The

facsimile number for the Group is (571) 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER March 2, 2006

PRIMARY EXA!

Page 6